The Indian Securities Fraud Class Action: Is Class Arbitration The Answer? Brian Fitzpatrick and Randall Thomas

Discussant: Bhargavi Zaveri
Finance Research Group, Indira Gandhi Institute of
Development Research

December 14, 2018

Proposition

- The Companies Act, 2013 introduced the concept of class action suits by shareholders and depositors against the company.
- 2. The class action suit remedy relies on the Indian judicial system.
- 3. An alternative forum for enforcement of similar rights is a class arbitration proceeding against the company.

Potential

1. Firms are essentially contracts (Coase 1937).

Potential

- 1. Firms are essentially contracts (Coase 1937).
- 2. Private enforcement mechanism.

Potential

- 1. Firms are essentially contracts (Coase 1937).
- 2. Private enforcement mechanism.
- 3. Achieve largely the same outcomes as a class action suit without having to rely on courts.

- Empirical evidence of success of class arbitration for securities fraud class action
 - ▶ Data on class arbitration in the United States shows the average time for disposal is just under two years.
 - Sucess of securities fraud class action arbitration

- Empirical evidence of success of class arbitration for securities fraud class action
 - Data on class arbitration in the United States shows the average time for disposal is just under two years.
 - Sucess of securities fraud class action arbitration
- 2. If class arbitration involves a plea for non-monetary relief, it may prejudice other classes of stakeholders in the firm.

- Empirical evidence of success of class arbitration for securities fraud class action
 - ▶ Data on class arbitration in the United States shows the average time for disposal is just under two years.
 - Sucess of securities fraud class action arbitration
- 2. If class arbitration involves a plea for non-monetary relief, it may prejudice other classes of stakeholders in the firm.
- Confidentiality of arbitration v. transparency to all stakeholders

- Empirical evidence of success of class arbitration for securities fraud class action
 - ▶ Data on class arbitration in the United States shows the average time for disposal is just under two years.
 - Sucess of securities fraud class action arbitration
- 2. If class arbitration involves a plea for non-monetary relief, it may prejudice other classes of stakeholders in the firm.
- Confidentiality of arbitration v. transparency to all stakeholders
- 4. Reconciling class arbitration with Indian jurisprudence:
 - If the relief sought is non-monetary, jurisdiction of the tribunal cannot be ousted merely because there is an arbitration agreement.
 - ▶ If there is an arbitration clause, "dressed-up" oppression and mismanagement proceedings to pre-empt arbitration, have been frowned upon.

Points to include in the analysis (contd.)

- 5. Long line of contrary judgements on arbitrability of fraud.
 - Radhakrishnan v. Maestro Engineers (2010): Issues of fraud not arbitrable.

Points to include in the analysis (contd.)

- 5. Long line of contrary judgements on arbitrability of fraud.
 - Radhakrishnan v. Maestro Engineers (2010): Issues of fraud not arbitrable.
 - Swiss Timing Ltd vs Organizing Committee (2014): Abovementioned judgement is bad in law.

Points to include in the analysis (contd.)

- 5. Long line of contrary judgements on arbitrability of fraud.
 - ► Radhakrishnan v. Maestro Engineers (2010): Issues of fraud not arbitrable.
 - Swiss Timing Ltd vs Organizing Committee (2014): Abovementioned judgement is bad in law.
 - A. Ayyasami v. A. Paramasivam (2016): Distinguishes between issues of "mere allegations of fraud" and "issues of serious fraud":

"very serious allegations of fraud which make a virtual case of criminal offence or where allegations of fraud are so complicated that it becomes absolutely essential that such complex issues can be decided only by civil court on the appreciation of the voluminous evidence" Thank you