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Why the worry on commissions

- Global evidence that front commissions cause mis-selling

- Indian evidence that high front loads cause mis-selling

- Two government committees have flagged concerns and recommended going no load
Response of regulators

- NPS: 0.25% upfront commission plus CRA charges of Rs 244 per account per year

- SEBI removed front commissions in 2009. Has restricted upfronting trail commissions to a max of 1% of investment amount

- IRDAI is the outlier
IRDAI is the outlier

- Ignored the recommendations of two government committees

- From today life insurance products carry higher commissions, both front and trail

- IRDAI has also formalised what were earlier illegal payouts to distributors
Background to life insurance in India

- Life insurance in FY16 was a Rs 3.6 trillion premium industry
- 12.77% in Ulips, 87.23% in traditional plans, of this term policies are less than 3%
- 24% premium from single premium
- 76% premium is from regular premium
- 62% of the premium is renewal
- 38% of the premium is first year (single + regular)
4 changes from April 1, 2017

· 1. Change in intermediary categories
· 2. Change in nomenclature of payments
· 3. Addition of a payment category
· 4. Change in compensation structure
1. Change in intermediary categories

· Before April 1 2017: 5 categories.
  Agents, corporate agents, insurance brokers, web aggregators and insurance marketing firms

· From April 1 2017: 3 categories
  1. Agents
  2. Intermediaries with > half income from insurance
  3. Intermediaries with < half income from insurance
2. Change in nomenclature of payments

- Before 1 April 2017: all payouts to distributors called commissions

- From 1 April 2017:

  Agents payouts are called commissions
  Intermediaries payouts are called remuneration
3. Addition of a payment category

- From 1 April 2017: agents and intermediaries get 'rewards’
- Agents get 20% of 1st year commission as ‘reward’
- Intermediaries with > 50% income from insurance get 20% of 1st year commission as ‘reward’
- Intermediaries with < 50% income from insurance get no ‘reward’
3. Addition of a payment category

IRDAI says:
* Agents' rewards are needed to cover: gratuity, term insurance cover, various group insurance, covers, telephone charges, office allowance, sales promotion, gift, items, competition prizes
* Intermediary 'rewards' to cover: services such a risk analysis, gap analysis, plan design, predictive modelling, data management, infrastructure, advertisement and such other items, including any additional incentives by whatever name called
4. Change in compensation structure

- a. Higher commissions for pure risk
- b. Higher renewal commissions
- c. Addition of rewards raises maximum compensation limits to a peak rate of 48%
4.a Higher commissions for pure risk

- Regular premium
- 1st year commissions on pure risk policies goes up from 35% to 40%
- No change for bundled products – stay at 35%

- Single premium
- Commissions up from 2% to 7.5% for pure risk
- Stay at 2% for bundled
4.b Higher renewal commissions

- Pure risk goes from 5% to 10%
- Bundled goes from 5% to 7.5%

- NPS: front cost 0.25%
- Mutual fund: zero
4.c ‘Rewards’ get added to 1st year commission

- 20% of 1st year commission is additional payouts to agents and intermediaries.
- Add the hike in commissions and the ‘rewards’ to get a peak rate of first year pay outs of 48% in the industry.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TOTAL COMMISSION + REWARD (old rates)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>AGENT</strong></td>
<td>48% (35%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INTERMEDIARY &gt; 50% INCOME FROM INSURANCE</strong></td>
<td>48% (35%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INTERMEDIARY &lt; 50% INCOME FROM INSURANCE</strong></td>
<td>40% (35%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. c Pure risk max 1st year payouts on single premium policies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOTAL COMMISSION + REWARD (old rates)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AGENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTERMEDIARY &gt; 50% INCOME FROM INSURANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTERMEDIARY &lt; 50% INCOME FROM INSURANCE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4 c Bundled max 1st year payouts on regular premium policies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TOTAL COMMISSION + REWARD (old rates)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>AGENT</strong></td>
<td>42% (35%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INTERMEDIARY &gt; 50% INCOME FROM INSURANCE</strong></td>
<td>42% (35%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INTERMEDIARY &lt; 50% INCOME FROM INSURANCE</strong></td>
<td>35% (35%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. c Bundled max 1st year payouts on single premium policies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TOTAL COMMISSION + REWARD (old rates)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AGENT</td>
<td>2.4% (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTERMEDIARY &gt; 50% INCOME FROM INSURANCE</td>
<td>2.4% (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTERMEDIARY &lt; 50% INCOME FROM INSURANCE</td>
<td>2% (2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What's good and what's not

· Raising commissions for pure risk is good

· Raising renewal commissions is good

· But raising first year payouts is sending the wrong signal to sellers

· Insurance industry suffers from churning with 5th year persistency at 44% for the industry
‘Rewards’ legalise illegal payouts

- Insurance industry breaches commission caps in various ways
  Office, marketing, gifts, trips, banners, stationary, software are some heads under which commissions have been paid
- We have a regulator in India who has legalised illegal payments
- IRDAI has tossed the ball at firms to ensure consumer protection, while opening the gates for mis-selling and churning
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