

Indian bankruptcy reform

May 14, 2016

Outline

- ▶ Elements of a sound insolvency system
- ▶ The present framework
- ▶ The IBC approach

Part I

Elements of a sound insolvency system

The economics of insolvency reform

- ▶ Breadth and depth of credit markets

Where lenders can enforce repayment, there is: (1) higher credit access, (2) at lower price, (3) with longer maturity, (3) lower collateral requirement , and (4) from a greater number and variety of lenders.

The economics of insolvency reform

- ▶ Breadth and depth of credit markets

Where lenders can enforce repayment, there is: (1) higher credit access, (2) at lower price, (3) with longer maturity, (3) lower collateral requirement , and (4) from a greater number and variety of lenders.

- ▶ Commercial confidence and predictability

When insolvency systems function, lenders can price risk more accurately and manage it more effectively.

The economics of insolvency reform

- ▶ Breadth and depth of credit markets

Where lenders can enforce repayment, there is: (1) higher credit access, (2) at lower price, (3) with longer maturity, (3) lower collateral requirement , and (4) from a greater number and variety of lenders.

- ▶ Commercial confidence and predictability

When insolvency systems function, lenders can price risk more accurately and manage it more effectively.

- ▶ Balance in commercial relations

More responsible behaviour by debtors and creditors. Improved corporate governance.

The economics of insolvency reform

- ▶ Breadth and depth of credit markets

Where lenders can enforce repayment, there is: (1) higher credit access, (2) at lower price, (3) with longer maturity, (3) lower collateral requirement , and (4) from a greater number and variety of lenders.

- ▶ Commercial confidence and predictability

When insolvency systems function, lenders can price risk more accurately and manage it more effectively.

- ▶ Balance in commercial relations

More responsible behaviour by debtors and creditors. Improved corporate governance.

- ▶ Efficient allocation of assets and stability

The possibility of exit promotes entrepreneurship. Effective exit provides a safety valve for corporate distress.

The credit continuum

| | | |
|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Credit access | Financial distress | Enforcement/insolvency |
| Credit assessment | Risk assessment | Enforcement |
| Information | Information | Security rights |
| Identify security | Identify options | Formal insolvency |
| Negotiate pricing | Negotiate pricing | Information |
| Contracting | Amend contracts | Negotiation |
| Registry | Possible action | Implementation |
| Monitoring | Monitoring | Monitoring |

Source: World Bank

Part II

What is wrong with the present
framework?

Enforcement

| Creditor | Debtor |
|--|---|
| Contract creditors | Firms; Individuals; Possessory security |
| Banks and Specified FIs | Firms; Individuals; Secured/ Unsecured |
| Banks and Specified FIs for secured NPLs | Firms; Individuals; Non-possessory security |
| State dues | Firms; Individuals |
| Workmen dues | Firms |

Insolvency

| Creditor | Debtor |
|--|---|
| Creditor with dues above defined value | Companies |
| All creditors | Registered Partnerships; Individual partners |
| Banks and Public FIs | Sick Industrial Companies |
| All creditors | Individuals |

| Creditor | Contract creditors | Banks, FIs, NBFCs, ARCs | Banks |
|---------------|--|--|---|
| | Statutory: Companies Act, 1956 Compromise/Arrangement Forum: High Court | Non-statutory: Individual restructuring; CDR; 5/25; SDR Forum: RBI guidelines Lenders' forum | Asset Sale to ARCs Forum: RBI guidelines |
| Debtor | Companies → | | |

Work out

Enforcement framework

- Average time to enforce contracts (WBDB) – 4 years, can go up to 20 years.
- 33 DRTs, 60,000 pending cases. Recovery rates – 14%.
- 12.5 lakh SARFAESI referrals in 2015. Recovery rates – 24%.
Most SARFAESI cases end up as appeals in DRT.

Insolvency framework

- ▶ 9.5 lakh active companies in India in 2014. Around 60,000 – 70,000 new companies added every year. Only around 300 – 400 new winding up cases in High Courts. Around 4,800 cases pending.
- ▶ Winding up takes an average of 4-5 years, some cases even 25 years.
- ▶ At BIFR, total of around 5,900 cases over three decades. Only one BIFR bench. Average time taken 5.8 years.
- ▶ 65% of BIFR referrals either abated or found not sick. Scheme sanctioned in only in 10% cases.
- ▶ Individual insolvency laws barely used. Banks and eligible FIs use DRTs or SARFAESI. Other lenders take security cheques and use provisions of Negotiable Instruments Act.

Work out

- ▶ CDR used by banks to restructure significant amounts of debt: 530 cases with total debt of Rs. 4 trillion (around 7% of banking sector advances).
- ▶ 65% of packages between 2010 – 2014, regulatory forbearance given.
- ▶ Successful exit in 16% cases. 38% failed and 46% ongoing.
- ▶ 15 SDR cases (till December 2015) with debt of Rs. 0.8 trillion. 11 cases are from CDR and 2 from CDR group companies.
- ▶ 14 ARCs. Banks' stressed advances – 11% of assets. Sale to ARCs – 0.8%.
- ▶ *Extend and pretend* rather than *resolution*.

Where we are

- ▶ Legal framework: complex, fragmented.
- ▶ Priority: unclear, between laws and between fora.
- ▶ Arbitrage: differential access, varied procedures.
- ▶ Institutional capacity: insufficient, courts, professional services, information systems.

Problem 1: low predictability, high pendency, high cost, poor recovery.

Comparison with other common law countries

| | India | U.S.A. | U.K. | Singapore | Canada |
|---|-------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|
| Enforcing Contracts (Rank) | 178 | 21 | 33 | 1 | 49 |
| • Time (Days) | 1420 | 370 | 437 | 150 | 570 |
| • Cost (% of claim) | 39.6 | 22.9 | 43.9 | 25.8 | 22.3 |
| Resolving Insolvency (Rank) | 136 | 5 | 13 | 27 | 16 |
| • Time (Years) | 4.3 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| • Recovery rate (cents per \$) | 25.7 | 80.4 | 88.6 | 89.7 | 87.3 |
| Getting Credit (Rank) | 42 | 2 | 19 | 19 | 7 |
| • Credit to non-financial sector (% of GDP) | 59.5 | 149.8 | 156.3 | 144.8 | 203.9 |
| • O/w bank credit (% of total) | 93.5 | 33.4 | 57.0 | 85.4 | 51.1 |

Source: World Bank: Doing Business, 2015;

BIS: long series on total credit to non-financial sectors, 2015

Problem 2: credit markets under-developed, dominated by banks.

Access to finance

- Firm D:E ratios have declined over time – from 1.6 in 1991-92 to 0.6 in 2012-13. Equity issuance (30%) and trade credit (38%) main sources.
- Banking sector the largest source of long-term financing credit firms (70%). Bonds only a fraction (5%).
- Personal loans – 0.05 bn accounts, 16% banks' advances. 75% secured.

Problem 3: limited access to credit. Undue reliance on security.

Banking sector stress

| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
|------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Advances | 40.8 | 48.0 | 55.3 | 62.8 | 68.8 |
| Y-o-y growth in advances (%) | 21.8 | 17.6 | 15.2 | 13.6 | 9.6 |
| GNPA (%) | 2.5 | 2.4 | 3.4 | 4.2 | 4.7 |
| Restructured advances (%) | 5.0 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 6.4 |
| Stressed advances (%) | 7.5 | 8.2 | 9.2 | 10.2 | 11.1 |

Source: RBI

Problem 4: real sector stress translating into financial sector stress.

Part III

The IBC approach

0. A systemic reform: Multiplicity of laws replaced by a single law.
1. Clarify control between equity and debt: When firm defaults, control should transfer to the debt holders. Respect for limited liability.
2. Protect organisational capital, in a sensible way: failure is a possibility, viability a commercial decision. Not all failure is theft/fraud.
3. Calm period: firm is immune to the claims of creditors; firm is managed by an Insolvency Professional reporting to creditors.
4. Liquidation: Clear waterfall of priorities.
5. Humane approach: balance of interest between creditor and debtor. Fresh start to individuals.
6. Need for speed.
7. The role of the judiciary: Ensure legal processes are followed.

Thank you.