A comparative analysis of shareholder inspection rights in India and the U.S.

Authors: Randall Thomas, Neha Joshi and Umakanth Varottil Discussant: Bhargavi Zaveri Finance Research Group

13<sup>th</sup> December, 2019

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

### Structure of discussion

- Quick summary of the paper
- Motivation
- Focus on typology
- Empirical strategy
- Some observations on findings

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Conclusion and takeaways

#### Structure of discussion

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ のへで

India

U.S.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

Low trigger threshold

- Statutory registers

- Documents filed with public authorities un-

der the Companies Act

India	U.S.
Low trigger threshold - Statutory registers - Documents filed with public authorities un- der the Companies Act	Proper purpose threshold

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

India	U.S.
Low trigger threshold - Statutory registers - Documents filed with public authorities un- der the Companies Act Low coverage	Proper purpose threshold

India	U.S.
Low trigger threshold	Proper purpose threshold
<ul> <li>Statutory registers</li> </ul>	
- Documents filed with public authorities un-	
der the Companies Act	
Low coverage	Wider coverage
-	-

U.S.	
Proper purpose threshold	
Wider coverage	
-	
	Proper purpose threshold

U.S.
Proper purpose threshold
Wider coverage
Rights vested with shareholders
-

India	U.S.
Low trigger threshold	Proper purpose threshold
<ul> <li>Statutory registers</li> </ul>	
- Documents filed with public authorities un-	
der the Companies Act	
Low coverage	Wider coverage
Rights vested with shareholders, public and	Rights vested with shareholders
the state	-
Used as a tool for dispute resolution, public	
law enforcement	

U.S.
Proper purpose threshold
Wider coverage
Rights vested with shareholders
-
Used as a tool for discovery processes
in direct and derivative actions.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

1. To what extent do disclosure norms address this problem?

- 1. To what extent do disclosure norms address this problem?
- 2. Do shareholders of public companies care?
  - Relationship between shareholder monitoring and firm value.
  - How many companies have contracted for higher inspection rights to shareholders?

- 1. To what extent do disclosure norms address this problem?
- 2. Do shareholders of public companies care?
  - Relationship between shareholder monitoring and firm value.
  - How many companies have contracted for higher inspection rights to shareholders?

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

3. Would shareholders of unlisted companies care?

- 1. To what extent do disclosure norms address this problem?
- 2. Do shareholders of public companies care?
  - Relationship between shareholder monitoring and firm value.
  - How many companies have contracted for higher inspection rights to shareholders?

- 3. Would shareholders of unlisted companies care?
- 4. Would this be an important question from the creditors' perspective?

- 1. To what extent do disclosure norms address this problem?
- 2. Do shareholders of public companies care?
  - Relationship between shareholder monitoring and firm value.
  - How many companies have contracted for higher inspection rights to shareholders?

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

- 3. Would shareholders of unlisted companies care?
- 4. Would this be an important question from the creditors' perspective?

People will care about this in dispute situations.



#### Focus on characterization and typology



# Typology

#### Focus on characterization and typology

- 1. Financial statements: balance sheet, P&L account, auditors reports.
- 2. Governance: board minutes, communication between board and key management, contracts of key managerial personnel, minutes of audit committee meetings, related party transactions.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

3. Operations: communication by key management personnel, business plans.

Objective: to understand patterns in enforcement of shareholder inspection rights in the U.S. and India.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Objective: to understand patterns in enforcement of shareholder inspection rights in the U.S. and India.

- 1. Should inspections by government (under Section 206) be included?
  - Does enforcement by the state enure for the benefit of shareholders?

Objective: to understand patterns in enforcement of shareholder inspection rights in the U.S. and India.

- 1. Should inspections by government (under Section 206) be included?
  - Does enforcement by the state enure for the benefit of shareholders?

- 2. Case-law as source of data.
  - Self-selection bias.

Objective: to understand patterns in enforcement of shareholder inspection rights in the U.S. and India.

- 1. Should inspections by government (under Section 206) be included?
  - Does enforcement by the state enure for the benefit of shareholders?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

- 2. Case-law as source of data.
  - Self-selection bias.
- 3. Surveys:
  - Firm-level
  - Shareholder-level

### Some observations

Scope of access

Proposition: Scope of access in India is wider.



## Some observations

Scope of access

#### Proposition: Scope of access in India is wider.

	India	U.S.
Publicly accessible	All statutory registers and fil-	??
	ings	

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

## Some observations

Scope of access

#### Proposition: Scope of access in India is wider.

	India	U.S.
Publicly accessible	All statutory registers and fil-	??
	ings	
Accessible on court on	??	Documents required for the
Accessible on court ap-		proper purpose.
proval		- Documents must be identi-
		fied with rifle precision.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

Co-ordinated action and private enforcement

Proposition: high co-ordination costs and inefficiency of civil litigation responsible for public enforcement of shareholder rights.

(日)

Co-ordinated action and private enforcement

Proposition: high co-ordination costs and inefficiency of civil litigation responsible for public enforcement of shareholder rights.

Dispersed v. concentrated shareholding: influence on costs of collective action.

Co-ordinated action and private enforcement

Proposition: high co-ordination costs and inefficiency of civil litigation responsible for public enforcement of shareholder rights.

- Dispersed v. concentrated shareholding: influence on costs of collective action.
- Delay in courts: section 220 cases in the U.S.

Minimum	0	
Maximum	2,666	
Mean	312	
Median	193	

U.S.: Linear progression from inspection to litigation

#### Is S. 220 an effective tool-at-hand?

Subsequent cases with known outcome	81
Plaintiffs win	42
Defendants win	23
Plaintiffs voluntarily dismiss	16

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

U.S.: Linear progression from inspection to litigation

#### Is S. 220 an effective tool-at-hand?

Subsequent cases with known outcome	81
Plaintiffs win	42
Defendants win	23
Plaintiffs voluntarily dismiss	16

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

#### Success rates of litigation:

- Class actions, derivative actions and individual actions
- Take into account time spent in litigation

## Conclusion and takeaways

- New contribution to understanding shareholder rights under Indian company law.
- Comparative perspective reinforces the notion that Indian company law focuses on public law enforcement more than private law enforcement.