Household stock market participation in the aftermath of an accounting scandal

Renuka Sane

7th Emerging Markets Finance Conference

16 December 2016

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

Outline

Research questions

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

- Research design
- Results

Part I

Research questions

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(()

Research questions

- How do investors react to major shocks in the market?
- Are investors with direct exposure to stock market fraud are more likely to decrease their participation?

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ めぬぐ

Is the reaction to fraud is an immediate response or continues to persist over long horizons?

What do we already know?

- A crisis significantly affects risk perceptions, and consequently trading behaviour (Dorn and Weber, 2013; Hoffman, Penning and Post, 2013)
- Fraud revelation lowers household participation in stock markets by lowering trust (Giannetti and Wang, 2016)
- Personally experienced outcomes are over-weighted compared to rational Bayesian learning (Kaustia and Knupfer, 2008; Malmendier and Nagel, 2016)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ● ●

- However, this research suffers from two drawbacks
 - Shock studied here is an aggregate shock
 - Data is not available at high frequency

How does this paper add to the literature?

- Narrow our attention to one event, the "Enron of India" fraud, a.k.a Satyam scandal
- Daily holdings comes from the National Securities Depository Limited (NSDL).
- > Allows us to identify investors who were directly exposed to the fraud

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ めぬぐ

Allows us to see the immediate response to an event

Part II

Research design

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

The setting

- Satyam was a successful IT company
- Promoter was the poster boy of India's IT revolution.
- On January 7, 2009, the chairman of Satyam publicly confessed that he had manipulated the accounts of the firm by US\$1.47 billion

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Investors in Satyam are said to have lost almost Rs.136 billion (US\$2 billion) over the next month

Measuring withdrawal

Selling existing stock on the market.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

- From particular "bad" sectors
- Over the long term

Outcomes

Gross traded value Total value traded by the investor Net traded value Difference between buy and sell trades i.e. Value invested

(or de-invested)

Beta from the market model with value-weighted universe of Indian stocks as the market portfolio.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Dealing with concerns: Exogenous event

- Announcement was largely a surprise, despite acquisition of two real-estate companies (Maytas Properties and Maytas Infrastructure) a few weeks prior
- ▶ Was not related to economic conditions was a result of accounting fraud.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Dealing with concerns: Household preferences

- Prior to the scandal date, match households on:
 - Age in terms of number of years in the stock market

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- Trading intensity
- Portfolio beta
- Portfolio value (in logs)

Data

- As of 6 January, 2009, the day before the Satyam crisis, there were 5.6 million individual accounts in NSDL.
- > A stratified random sample of investors from the NSDL universe.
- Sampled from each state, and oversampled Satyam investors in each state.

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ めぬぐ

- Total sample of 439,461 retail investors.
- Of these 10% (40,461) investors held Satyam one day before the crisis.

Satyam shares



value of satyam as a proportion of total value

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @

Overall sample

	Does not own Satyam	Owns Satyam	Overall
Account age	3.67	4.64***	3.75
	(2.86)	(2.54)	(2.59)
Total traded value (Rs.000) between $t - 30$ and t	5.51	25.82***	7.45
	(77.64)	(94.67)	(79.65)
Net traded value (Rs.000) between $t - 30$ and t	-1.05	2.57***	-7
	(75.14)	(68.33)	(74.5)
Portfolio value (Rs.000)	81.44	210.27***	93.75
	(145.48)	(227.09)	(159.71)
Portfolio returns between $t-1$ and t	-0.09	-0.29***	-0.11
	(0.04)	(0.37)	(0.13)
Portfolio Beta	0.88	0.85***	0.87
	(0.31)	(0.23)	(0.30)
Has other IT stocks	0.18 [′]	Ò.58* [*] *	Ò.22
	(0.49)	(0.38)	(0.41)
N	382,901	40,461	423,362

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @

Match balance

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
	IVIeans	Ivleans	SD	Mean	t-stat	p-val	SDIFF	ks-stat	p-val
	Treated	Control	Control	Diff					
Portfolio beta	0.85	0.89	0.29	-0.05	-0.12	0.90	-0.08	0.02	0.00**
Log (portfolio value)	11.46	10.06	1.75	1.39	0.12	0.00	0.084	0.004	0.90
Had traded	0.42	0.13	0.34	0.29	0.00	1	0	0	1
Account age	4.46	3.67	2.53	0.79	-0.05	0.95	-0.037	0.006	0.52



Absolute Standardized Diff in Means

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ ▲□▶ ◆□◆

Part III

Results

Net traded value overall



Treated investors (i.e. those who held Satyam stock) sold out their equity holdings on the date of the announcement.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

- Overall net traded value of treated investors was -Rs.2.1 billion,
- Of control investors was -Rs.0.9 billion.

Do investors de-invest? (7 days)

	Dependent	variable:
	NTV (Rs.)	NTV/Val (%)
	(1)	(2)
Treat	610.053***	0.5***
	(15.164)	(0.01)
Post	-4,431.651***	-3.9***
	(14.158)	(0.01)
Treat*Post	-1,386.274***	-1.2***
	(20.018)	(0.01)
Constant	1,897.839***	1.4***
	(21.436)	(0.01)
State FF	YES	YES
Observations	1.048.090	1.048.090
Residual Std. Error (df = 1048067)	3,933.966	0.027

• Average amount de-invested relative to control group is Rs.1387.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @

▶ De-investment of 36% relative to the pre-event average

Does de-investing vary with exposure?

			Dependent variable	r.	
			NTV (Rs.)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Treat*Post	-939.107*** (76.706)	-705.770*** (54.983)	-627.435*** (39.176)	-738.079*** (26.015)	-1,730.751*** (22.345)
			Dependent variable	e	
	-		NTV/val (%)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Treat*Post	-0.2*** (0.02)	-0.2*** (0.02)	-0.4*** (0.03)	$^{-1.1^{***}}_{(0.03)}$	-7.5*** (0.05)
Observations	210,229	210,238	210,091	209,757	207,775

• The greater the exposure to Satyam, the larger is the de-investment.

Trading on Satyam



- Treated investors de-invested Rs.-1.1 billion of Satyam. This is almost 57% of the net traded value.
- Control group showed a positive net traded value, or Rs.22 million.
- Suggests they actually bought Satyam probably saw this as an opportunity to buy depressed stock

Is de-investing largely about Satyam stock?

	Dependent variable:
	NTV Satyam (Rs.)
Post	-372.773***
	(5.806)
Exposure Q2:post	-265.923***
	(8.211)
Exposure Q3:post	-450.003***
	(8.211)
Exposure Q4:post	-682.936***
	(8.213)
Exposure Q5:post	-1,453.275***
	(8.234)
Constant	123.304***
	(5.605)
State FE	YES
Observations	524,616
Residual Std. Error	713.566 (df = 524597)

Does de-investing get carried over to other IT stocks? (7 days)

	Depend	lent variable:
	NTV (IT stocks)	NTV (Non-IT stocks)
	(1)	(2)
Treat	3.106***	-27.771**
	(0.046)	(12.172)
Post	-4.317***	-4,017.202***
	(0.043)	(11.361)
Treat*Post	-3.914***	79.760***
	(0.060)	(16.065)
Constant	2.232***	1,702.591***
	(0.065)	(17.202)
State FE	YES	YES
Observations	1,048,876	1,048,876
Residual Std. Error (df = 1048853)	9.213	3,194.085

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(()

Low impact on other IT stocks

Treated investors actually buy more of other non-IT stock

Does de-investing last over time?

	Dependen	t variable:
	NTV (Rs.)	NTV/Val (%)
	(1)	(2)
Treat	-28.409***	-0.03***
	(5.030)	(0.004)
Post	-688.743***	-0.7***
	(4.487)	(0.004)
Treat*Post	19.290***	0.1***
	(6.345)	(0.01)
Constant	215.403***	0.2***
	(6.895)	(0.01)
State FE	YES	YES
Observations	6,227,014	6,227,014
Residual Std. Error (df = 6226991)	2,876.082	0.031

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

- The net sales have reversed
- Treated investors are now actually buying more!

Part IV

Threats to validity

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Was it some other event?



Unobservables driving the result?

Restrict contro	l group	to	those	who	once	held	Satyam
-----------------	---------	----	-------	-----	------	------	--------

	Dependent variable:			
	NTV (Rs.)	NTV/Val (%)		
	(1)	(2)		
Treat	234.814***	0.5***		
	(46.598)	(0.0003)		
Post	-5,525.820***	-3.9***		
	(55.201)	(0.0004)		
Treat*Post	-555.548***	-1.2***		
	(57.496)	(0.0004)		
Constant	2,386.222***	1.5***		
	(51.102)	(0.0003)		
State FE	YES	YES		
Observations	568,795	568,795		
Residual Std. Error (df = 568772)	4,554.330	0.030		

Part V

Way forward

Conclusion

- We find a huge impact on those exposed to fraud
- These investors sell the "bad" stock
- The sales increase by exposure
- The sales are reversed within a month
- Suggests that the impact is short-lived
- Investors don't really extrapolate to other stocks

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Questions/comments?

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(()